

Appendix no.2

Tree Evaluation Method for Preserved Trees (TEMPO)

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 6.12.07 Surveyor: M. Knagg

Tree details T1-T3
 TPO Ref (if applicable): 421(2007) Tree/Group No: G1, G2 Species: Ash, birch, Sycamore, beech, holly, cherry, cypress
 Owner (if known): — Location: St. Johns Church, Evesgate Lane, Silverdale

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead Unsuitable
- 0) Dying/dangerous* Unsuitable

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

Score & Notes 5
 Generally trees are of a good condition

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10* Unsuitable

* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

Score & Notes 4
 Many trees have a potential longevity of several hundred years; Yew; Sycamore, Ash, beech.

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable
- 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable
- 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable
- 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

Score & Notes 4
 Trees adj. main public highway + envelope church building

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Score & Notes 4
 provide cohesion + significant contribution to tree cover of area.

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

Score & Notes 3
 Development works has damage tree roots - unknown if more works are intended?

Part 3: Decision guide

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-10 Does not merit TPO
- 11-14 TPO defensible
- 15+ Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total:
 22

Decision:
 Sewe TPO